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Abstract
The past decade, audit job performance and audit process have received much attention within auditors’ works. Job performance is regarded as a professional in assurance and consulting services that auditors are facing at the competitive situation. In Thailand, the government is allowing Tax Auditor (TA) to audit and provide the opinion to a financial report of the small and medium enterprises. Under the competitive situation, TA requires knowledge management strategies to undertake audit processes such as auditing, management, and other knowledge within the organization climate circumstance and related to audit job service to benefit the audit performance. Hence, TA effort is to improve audit knowledge management strategies under the current competitive advantage by using strategies to conduct their work quality and enhance an audit job performance. Therefore, this research aims to examine the audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance of Tax Auditor (TA). The questionnaire mail survey was completed by 77 TAs in Thailand. The statistical method used to analyze the data was ordinary least square (OLS) regression. The results show that audit knowledge management strategies have significantly positive relationship with audit work performance. All of the hypotheses are supported, and a thorough discussion is effectively presented as a part of this paper. Further implications of theoretical and managerial contributions are explicitly provided. Conclusion, limitations, and suggestions for future research are also presented.

1. Introduction
Audit job performance in auditing context is very important for the Tax Auditor (TA) who is a professional in assurance and consults services. Under the competitive advantage circumstance, TA needs more knowledge such as auditing, management, and other knowledge related to audit job service to gain more audit performance. Moreover, TA needs to manage audit knowledge among each other under the organizational climate. To manage audit knowledge, TA also uses strategies to conduct their audit quality to gain more audit job performance. Therefore, audit strategies are among the methods that TA is dealing within audit knowledge management in the circumstance of audit work (Hart and Banbury, 1994).

The audit knowledge management strategies can help TA to avoid and mitigate risk purposes of auditing work. On the other hand, audit knowledge is a key role for the audit process creation (Neito and Perez-Cano, 2004), consisting of TAs’ knowledge and behavior ethics of the integrated audit process and objective setting in audit operating (Arenaet., al 2010). The role of audit strategies is, for example, transferring audit knowledge; educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming as have a direct effect on the audit job performance, and is expected of the TA in order to maintain the individual reputation and to deliver audit quality services to clients. In some cases, the TA will make an audit strategy to put pressure on the clients or competitiveness (Kerler and Brandon, 2010). Therefore, the TA must possess the required industry and business knowledge in order to avoid and mitigate associated risks of audit work by having and/or obtaining appropriate and sufficient industry and business knowledge of their clients.
Audit job performance refers to the degree of TA objective achievement in accuracy, transparency, and timeliness of audit work that affected from audit management strategies. Audit job performance is important as it is the measure for evaluating audit management strategies. Hence, the sufficient and appropriate knowledge management strategies procedure may represent audit job performance through the clear and sufficient audit policies. Audit job performance, on the other hand, depends on the quality of audit work by TA under organizational climate. The organizational climate is important to audit job performance because TA shares perceptions of audit procedures, audit management strategies, policies, and practices among the audit team. There are many organizational climates both formal and informal (Simha and Cullen, 2012). Besides, organizational climate as the attitudes and feelings of TA characterizes the styles in the audit firm and perceptions of organizational practices (Rousseau and Denise, 2011). The features of organizational climate, particularly audit firm includes: time pressure, regulatory, and professional standards, audit committee, and client acceptance.

Furthermore, organizational climate is important for TA to operate their knowledge strategy. When TA manages knowledge strategy to each TA both formal and informal to achieve in audit job performance, it depends and spans on organizational climate (Rousseau and Denise, 2011). The organizational climate such as time pressure, regulatory and audit standards, and audit committee, generally influence audit job performance. TA needs to control audit quality by reducing time pressure and work in accordance with audit professional standards to gain more audit job performance, therefore, it can be fully realized in a conductive of organizational climate of audit firm environment under audit knowledge management strategies and without barriers.

Based on the discussion above, the main research question of this paper addresses how audit knowledge management strategies relate to audit job performance. This research also aims to examine the relationship between organizational climate as the antecedent variables of the audit job performance. Finally, organizational climate moderates the effect between audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. This research builds upon previous research based on contingency theory to develop and create the conceptual model. This research involves the collection of data that is analyzed using quantitative approach.

This paper is organized as follows. The first part details a literature review and the hypotheses development. The second part deals with the research methods, including the sample selection and respondents; questionnaire design; and measurements reliability and validity. The following section describes the statistical analysis and results. The final part presents the discussion of findings, limitations and future research, implications, and conclusions.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

This research needs to clarify the audit knowledge management strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) - audit job performance, audit knowledge management strategies - organizational climate relationships. It aims to examine the effects of audit knowledge management strategies from Tax Auditors (TAs) who are the specialist of assurance and consult services in Thailand. Moreover, this research also studies organizational climate as the moderator between audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. Audit knowledge management strategies are the key determinants of audit job performance as independent variables.

This research, the assumption of contingency theory suggests the establishment between audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. Therefore, the conceptual model established to the first link of audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. The second link describes the relationships between audit knowledge management strategies and organizational climate. Finally, the third link describes the relationships among organizational climate moderating on effect audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance.
To describe the relationship between audit knowledge management strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance and examines the organizational climate as a moderating role that has an effect on relationships among audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance (Bernard and Sweeney, 2006).

At present, every professional tax auditors (TAs) require knowledge management strategies to avoid and mitigate risk purposes of auditing work. The strategy is one of the methods to dealing with change in circumstance of work (Hart and Banbury, 1994). TA attempts to manage the strategy into their capability and generate gain in job performance especially audit knowledge. Audit knowledge is a key role for the audit process creation (Neito and Perez-Cano, 2004). Therefore, audit knowledge management strategies consist of TAs’ style and their philosophy linked with audit process and objective setting in audit operating (Arena., et al 2010). The sufficient and appropriated knowledge management strategy procedure may represent audit job performance through the clear and sufficient audit policies. Tax auditor needs to transform audit knowledge among each other to benefit in audit job performance (Neito and Perez-Cano, 2004). The knowledge management strategy efficiency is intended to reflect that TA has been updated with present knowledge of professional audit standards, rules, guidance, law, and especially audit technique from each TA or each audit team. Here, audit management strategies refer to the way that TA tends to transform audit knowledge, educate audit knowledge, audit experience, and audit skill sharing between each other.

Furthermore, the educate audit experience is very important for TA to improve his/her knowledge. An educate audit experience comes from several sources that TA will gain to audit job performance. However, not only for educate audit experience, audit skill sharing also important to TA for manage and advance into auditing. The skill sharing is the one of knowledge that TA needs to transfer and integrate the audit knowledge by communicating through both formal and informal or person to person system (Akgunet., al 2007). Within audit work process, TA attempts to manage audit strategy by coaching audit team to gain more knowledge of auditing. The coaching strategy, particularly embed coaching is necessary to TA who lacks audit knowledge in audit job. Besides, effective mentoring is also important to audit knowledge management strategy that TA needs to success in audit job performance. The effective mentoring is from senior TA or team leader to share their knowledge, management, and ability of auditing. Finally, when TA needs to success in audit job performance, TA needs an integrated brainstorming knowledge of not only audit knowledge but also management. The integrating of audit knowledge can help TA to create audit work value and benefit of audit job performance (Ju et., al, 2006) and reflects the extent of capability in accessing and utilizing TA specialist knowledge (Grant, 1996). TA integrated brainstorming of audit knowledge tends to contribute the better creation of audit job performance (Yang, 2005). As aforementioned above, this research assumes that audit knowledge management strategies are positively related to audit job performance, and it leads to the hypothesis posited as below.

Hypothesis 1: There is a positive relationship between audit management strategies: a) transferring audit knowledge, b) educate audit experience, c) audit skill sharing, d) embed coaching, e) effective mentoring, and f) integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance.

The organizational climate is the process, set of properties of audit work environment that TA perceived directly or indirectly and influencing TA behavior in job performance. The organizational climate is important to audit job performance because TA shared perceptions of audit procedures, audit management strategies, policies, and practices of the audit team. There are many organizational climates both formal and informal (Simha and Cullen, 2012). On the other hand, organizational climate is the attitudes and feelings of TA characterize style in the audit firm and perceptions of organizational practices (Rousseau and Denise, 2011). The features of organizational climate (in audit firm) include time pressure, regulatory and professional standards, audit
committee, and client acceptance. Since climates exist at many levels in an audit firm and can encompass a variety of practices and assessment of audit job performance, therefore TA needs to systematically span the relationships and activities in which audit knowledge management strategies are involved (Rousseau and Denise, 2011). Thereby, organizational climate is moderating the relationship between audit knowledge management strategy and audit job performance.

Here, not only organizational climate affects audit job performance, but also moderates the relationship between audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. TA needs to control audit quality by reducing time pressure and work following with audit professional standards to gain more audit job performance, and that it is very challenging to TA. The audit job performance can be fully realized in a conductive of organizational climate of audit firm environment under audit knowledge management strategies and without barriers. Hence, audit job performance depends on the quality of audit work by TA under organizational climate. Therefore, as described above, this research focuses on effects of compliance quality on internal audit effectiveness. This research is expecting a positive relationship between compliance quality and internal control effectiveness. Thus, it leads to the hypothesis posited as below.

Hypothesis 2: The greater the organizational climate is, the more likely that tax auditor will gain greater audit job performance.

Hypothesis 3: There is a positive relationship between audit management strategies: a) transferring audit knowledge, b) educate audit experience, c) audit skill sharing, d) embed coaching, e) effective mentoring, and f) integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance through organizational climate on job performance.

3. Data and Methodology

The sample selection and respondents for this research were composed of tax auditors (TAs) in Thailand. The total number of questionnaires mailed was 500. By deducting the undeliverables from the original mailing, the valid number of mailed questionnaires was 450, from which 95 responses were received. Of the surveys completed and returned, only 77 were usable. Thus, the effective response rate was approximately 17.11%.

The non-response bias (Armstrong and Overton, 1977) was evaluated after the third week of the initial mailing of questionnaires. So, the pre-notification by postcard was used as a follow-up to respondents. This procedure assumes that late respondents are not different from non-respondents comparing relatively early and relatively late responses in each mailing by use of a t-test of the regression analysis method. The regression results reveal that no significant differences between early and late respondents existed, at α=0.05. In addition, this study applied a test to compare the demographics of the respondents (e.g. numbers of years of audit experience of the TA). Hence, there is no significant difference between early and late respondents in terms of the numbers of years of audit experience of the TA, and the effect of non-response bias on the results of this study was considered minimal.

For this research, the mail questionnaire was developed using a two-stage procedure that included both pre-tests and the refinement of the scales developed for all variables in the study. The pretest was used to understand the context and the result after pretest was used to assess the internal audit quality-organizational effectiveness relationship. Then the questionnaires were modified and developed to increase the appropriateness and validity of sentences or words used for construct items. The final surveys were administered by a cover letter that included an explanation of the objective of the study along with the questionnaire and a prepared envelope.

Questions to the respondents were provided in the Thai language, with five-point Likert-type scales ranging from ‘1 = strongly disagree’ to ‘5 = strongly agree’. The first part of questionnaires deals with external factor details. The next part of the questionnaire deals with personal information of the respondent, such as in gender, age, education, personal status, internal audit experience,
professional, and position. In each part, questions deal with the variables of work commitment, industry and business knowledge, and professional judgment effectiveness. The final part of the questionnaire included open-ended items for respondents to provide any further suggestions and opinions. Potential respondents received a cover letter to provide the objectives of the research. The conduct of questionnaire survey is complied with the ethics and confidentiality rules to preserve the rights, liberties, and safety of the participants. The respondents were informed of the ethical rules that participation was voluntary, and they were not being exposed to any risks, i.e. psychological, moral, and legal.

The characteristics of the sample are based on gender; age range, marriage status, education levels (degree), years of experience, years of professional experience, and average monthly income. The Sample includes 55.13% female, who provided the majority of respondents’ demographic information. Moreover, the majority (61.54%) of the age of respondents was over 40 years. In term of marital status, 53.85% were married. The education levels were higher that bachelor’s degree (52.56%). Fifty-six of respondents (71.79%) are higher than 15 years of experience; also 43.59% were 5-10 years of professional experience. Finally, 74.36% of the sample had more than 30,000 baht of average monthly income.

In the survey instrument, all scale items were scored by existing developed scales and underwent a complex task by pretest of the scales from various accounting, auditing, and internal audit and control studies to reduce the mitigation of errors (Morales et al., 2007). The principal component analysis was used to check whether all items respective construct. To ensure scales were reliable and valid, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was obtained to test the reliabilities (higher than 0.7 as satisfactory) (Conbach, 1951). Therefore, in this research, the measurements of the constructs work commitment were obtained via four items indicating the extent of the TAs behavior to participate in audit work. Industry and business knowledge were measured using four items concerning industry and business environment data such as internal control systems and competitive ranking. Finally, professional judgment effectiveness was measured by eight items asking respondents to rate the extent of his/her judgment and decision making.

In this research, reliability is estimated using Cronbach’s alpha, the most common method accepted by researchers (Francis, 2001). The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient indicates the degree of internal consistency among items in the questionnaire (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). In this research, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients are in the range of .740 - .855. To conduct a validity check of the questionnaire items, the construct validities need to be assessed by factor analysis, which was therefore conducted as part of this study. A principal component factor analysis using Varimax rotation was performed for each construct using the 0.40 criterion as a significant item loading. All factor loadings greater than the cut-off value of 0.40 are adopted based on Hair et al. (1992) who interpreted the significance of factor loadings of 0.30, 0.40 as being more significant, and 0.50 as being very significant. Here, the construct factor loadings are in the range from .695 - .888.

As described above, all results of factor loadings and Cronbach’s alpha coefficients show the statistics in terms of average scores and the range of key variables and also the overall reliability of all key variables (Francis 2001). Thus, Table 1 shows the results of the factor analysis, with the factor loadings and Conbrach’s alpha coefficient of the multi-item measurement scales used in the questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Component Loadings</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Knowledge Management Strategies (AKM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferring Audit Knowledge (TRN)</td>
<td>.764-.852</td>
<td>.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate Audit Experience (EDE)</td>
<td>.794-.800</td>
<td>.701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Results of Factor Loadings and Cronbach’s Alpha Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Loadings</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Skill Sharing (ASS)</td>
<td>.781 - .829</td>
<td>.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embed Coaching (EMC)</td>
<td>.769 - .888</td>
<td>.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Mentoring (EFM)</td>
<td>.821 - .883</td>
<td>.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Brain Storming (IBS)</td>
<td>.867 - .880</td>
<td>.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance (AJP)</td>
<td>.778 - .861</td>
<td>.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Climate (ORC)</td>
<td>.695 - .852</td>
<td>.806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. Analysis & Finding

In this research, the correlation matrix is used for the initial analysis then ordinary least squares regression (OLS) for supplemented hypotheses tests from the data (Aulakh et al., 2000). The OLS is a linear-regression and responsive to the pulling closer of the categories that permit the parameters in the model so that the researcher can interpret the model parameters when it is not true (Samelson et al., 2006). Thus, we perform an appropriate and statistical analysis of the data collection employing the ordinal regression with two equations as follows:

**Equation 1:**
\[
AJP = \beta_0 + \beta_{TRN} \cdot TRN + \beta_{ASS} \cdot ASS + \beta_{EMC} \cdot EMC + \beta_{EFM} \cdot EFM + \beta_{IBS} \cdot IBS + \epsilon
\]

**Equation 2:**
\[
AJP = \beta_{ORC} + \beta_{ORC} \cdot ORC + \epsilon
\]

**Equation 3:**
\[
AJP = \beta_{ASS} + \beta_{ASS} \cdot ASS + \beta_{EMC} + \beta_{EMC} \cdot EMC + \beta_{IBS} + \beta_{IBS} \cdot IBS + \beta_{ORB} + \beta_{ORB} \cdot ORC + \epsilon
\]

### Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>AJP</th>
<th>TRN</th>
<th>EDE</th>
<th>ASS</th>
<th>EMC</th>
<th>EFM</th>
<th>IBS</th>
<th>ORC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>20.81</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>21.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Audit Job Performance (AJP)**
- Transferring Audit Knowledge (TRN) .380**
- Educate Audit Experience (EDE) .488** .687**
- Audit Skill Sharing (ASS) .534** .579** .675**
- Embed Coaching (EMC) .612** .657** .763** .746**
- Effective Mentoring (EFM) .540** .568** .675** .741** .701**
- Integrated Brain Storming (IBS) .531** .501** .718** .641** .677** .707**
- Organizational Climate (ORC) .703** .546** .675** .509** .666** .540** .593**

**P<.05**

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independence Variables</th>
<th>Audit Job Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferring Audit Knowledge (TRN)</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate Audit Experience (EDE)</td>
<td>.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Skill Sharing (ASS)</td>
<td>.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embed Coaching (EMC)</td>
<td>.171**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Mentoring (EFM)</td>
<td>.165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Results of Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(.104)</th>
<th>(.153)</th>
<th>(.110)</th>
<th>(.744)</th>
<th>(.074)</th>
<th>(.174)</th>
<th>(.179)</th>
<th>(.252)</th>
<th>(.218)</th>
<th>(.394)</th>
<th>(.252)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Brain Storming (IBS)</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>-.062***</td>
<td>-.082***</td>
<td>.062***</td>
<td>.082***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Climate (ORC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRN*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDE*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMC*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFM*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS*ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td>.180**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>.365</td>
<td>.488</td>
<td>.540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<.10, *** P<.01
ª Beta coefficients with standard errors in parenthesis

Table 3 shows regression analysis based on regression equation Models 1, 2, and predict by Hypotheses 1-3. The Equation 1 (Model 1) addresses the relationship between audit knowledge management strategies: 1) transferring audit knowledge, 2) educate audit experience, 3) audit skill sharing, 4) embed coaching, 5) effective mentoring, 6) integrated brainstorming and audit job performance. The results show that audit knowledge management strategies overall has a significant relationship with audit job performance. However, this study only found that embed coaching ($\beta_e = .46$, $p<0.05$) has significant positive relationships with audit job performance. Therefore, Hypothesis 1 is supported.

The Equation 2 (Model) addresses the relationship between organizational climate and audit job performance. The results show that organizational climate ($\beta_c = -.06$, $p<0.00$) has a significant relationship with audit job performance. Therefore, Hypothesis 2 is supported. The Equation 3 (Model 3) has shown the relationships for the organizational climate, a moderating effect between audit knowledge management strategies: 1) transferring audit knowledge, 2) educate audit experience, 3) audit skill sharing, 4) embed coaching, 5) effective mentoring, and 6) integrated brainstorming and audit job performance. The results show that organizational climate has moderating effects overall has a significant relationship with audit job performance. However, this study only found that organizational climate has moderating effects between integrated brainstorming ($\beta_{ac} = .18$, $p>0.05$) and audit job performance relationship. Therefore, Hypothesis 3 is supported.

5. Discussion and Summary

These research results show that audit knowledge management strategy was significant on audit job performance (Skaife., et al 2007) particularly tax auditor in Thailand. Furthermore, this research shows the organizational climate also a significant relationship with audit job performance. Due to organizational climate, include time pressure, regulatory and professional standards, audit committee, and client acceptance exist at many levels in an audit firm. It's can encompass a variety of practices and assessment of audit job performance that TA needs to systematically span the
relationships and activities in which audit knowledge management strategies are involved (Rousseau and Denise, 2011).

Organizational climate, on the other hand, has a significant moderating effect toward the relationship between audit knowledge management strategies and audit job performance. Due to the roles of organizational climate is a process, set of properties of audit work environment that TA perceived directly or indirectly and influencing TA behavior in job performance. Hence, the finding of this work supported this research which claims that TA who has more audit knowledge management strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) aims to improve audit job performance. Besides, TA gains more audit job performance through organizational climate activities rule, and members of audit firm believe in audit knowledge strategies, meaning that the greater audit knowledge management strategies, TA can gain in audit job performance. Moreover, TA always shares the perceptions of audit procedures, audit management strategies, policies, and practices of audit team both formal and informal (Simha and Cullen, 2012) that influence audit job performance. Therefore, the greater the audit knowledge management strategies are, the more likely that TA will have greater audit job performance. Thus, TA is gaining a better job performance upon audit knowledge management strategies.

Audit job performance is important to TAs, internal auditors, stakeholders, and all audit firm members to conduct audit work. Therefore, audit job performance is used to provide information cues to conduct audit work that affected by audit knowledge management strategies. Therefore, this research examines the relationship between audit knowledge management strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance. In addition, this research also examines the relationship between organizational climate and audit job performance, including of organizational climate as moderating roles between audit knowledge management strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance. The results show that audit knowledge management strategies have the positive relationships with audit job performance, between organizational climate and audit job performance, and relationship of organizational climate as moderating effect of audit knowledge strategies (transferring audit knowledge, educate audit experience, audit skill sharing, embed coaching, effective mentoring, and integrated brainstorming) and audit job performance.

6. Limitations, Future Research, and Implications

This research has a limitation that the objectives under audit management strategies framework may only be suitable for TAs in Thailand. Future research may be amended as necessary to suit specific circumstances due to particular audit activities into their framework. Moreover, future research can verify the objectives pursued here or extend this study to different professional accounting areas, such as those involving the CPA or the CIA. It would be beneficial, particularly to assert the views of clients’ acceptance, stakeholders, and third-parties to understand the audit job performance that affects by audit management strategies judgment of TAs’ and their professionalism. This study provides evidence supporting the importance of a holistic research approach that considers the behavior of TAs within the context of the auditing process. Future research may consider benefits from the views of several disciplines and to explore in decision making or judgment effectiveness in other contexts.

This research expands and beneficial in contingency theory based on the concept of the audit job performance contingent variable to shown that TA who manage good strategies in audit knowledge under organizational climate will gain audit job performance. The generalizability is assumed by this empirical study under the content of internal audit and control, accounting, and
auditing effectiveness. The theoretical development is based on contingency theory used to describe
the relationships between the context and the structure of audit knowledge management strategies.
This empirical study suggests that TAs who are specialized and higher in assurance services will
provide a strong benefit and achieve an audit strategy management analysis and that the audit job
will benefit in terms of performance. The overall results found significant support for the hypotheses.
The practical implications of this research currently exist for TAs by helping to understand the audit
knowledge management strategies. The audit job performance may be effectively conducted by TA
from their audit knowledge management strategies within audit work process (Flowerday., et al 2006), including all TA members of the audit firm to believe and trust the audit knowledge
management systems by following up the strategic rules. Besides, the managerial implication may
benefit stakeholders and audit firm partners to apply implement audit knowledge management
strategies into audit work process under organizational climate. Consequently, a success of audit job
performance appears.

Finally, Stakeholders and audit firm partners should provide transferring knowledge, getting
more audit experience and audit skill sharing, coaching, mentoring, and brainstorming with each TA
both inbound and outbound audit firm. However, they should provide an audit quality of audit job
performance, applied to all TA member staffs with the potential communication under
organizational climate.
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